



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/691,057 10/22/2003 Kevin J. Zilka SVIPGP003B 28875 7590 09/13/2005 EXAMINER	6078	
28875 7590 09/13/2005 EXAMINER		
	EXAMINER	
Zilka-Kotab, PC P.O. BOX 721120	VU, THANH T	
	PAPER NUMBER	
2174		

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/691,057	ZILKA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Thanh T. Vu	2174		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 O 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·			
Disposition of Claims				
<u>_</u>				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this. or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by MS Internet Explorer (IE).

Per claim 1, IE teaches graphical user interface for use in association with a network browser comprising:

a network browser window associated with a network browser for displaying Internet content associated with uniform resource locators (URLs) during network browsing (Fig. 1; elements: 10 and 11);

a plurality of identifiers adjacent to the window in which the content is displayed (Fig. 2; identifiers: 13 and 14);

wherein a user is allowed to pre-select one of the identifiers (Fig. 1; identifier 13 is pre-selected from the history list and content is displayed in area 10);

wherein, after the pre-selection, selected content associated with at least one of the URLs displayed during use of the network browser is correlated with the pre-selected identifier and stored (Figs 2-5; selection of element 20 and 22 of fig. 2, and selection of element of 40 and 41 of Fig. 4 are stored); see list 50 of fig. 5).

Art Unit: 2174

Per claim 2, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the identifiers are capable of being selected for identifying further information associated there with (Figs. 2-5).

Per claim 3, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the URLs are displayed by browsing (Figs. 7-9).

Per claim 4, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, and further comprising allowing the user to subsequently access the content utilizing the identifier (Fig. 1, user can select identifier 13 and 14).

Per claim 5, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the content is correlated upon selection of the content (Figs. 2-5)

Per claim 6, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 5, wherein the content is automatically correlated upon selection of the content (Figs. 2-5).

Per claim 7, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the identifiers have associated displayed buckets (Figs. 5 and 6; buckets 51; the examiner interprets a bucket to be the folder 51 which contains related history links).

Per claim 8, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 7, wherein the identifiers identify the buckets (Fig. 5; identifier 51).

Per claim 9, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 8, wherein the identifiers include intellectual property identifiers (Fig. 11, element 10).

Per claim 10, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the content includes an entire web page (fig. 1; content 10).

Art Unit: 2174

Per claim 11, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the content includes documentation in a portable document format (Fig. 10; element 11).

Per claim 12, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the date is stored with the content (Fig. 9; date 90).

Per claim 13, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 12, wherein the date includes a date at which time the content was discovered (fig. 9, date 90).

Per claim 14, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein the URL is stored with the content (Fig. 1, URL 11; Figs. 7-9).

Per claim 15, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 7, wherein the buckets are accessed via menus (Fig. 6; element 60).

Per claim 16, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 15, wherein the buckets are accessed via sub-menus (Fig. 6; element 60).

Per claim 17, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 15, wherein the buckets are selected by being clicked (Fig. 6; double click on 61).

Per claim 18, IE teaches a graphical user interface as recited in claim 1, wherein any content selected during use of the network browser results in automatic correlation with the preselected identifier (Figs. 2-5).

Per claim 19, IE teaches an archival process that incorporates the features of claim 1.

(Figs. 2-5).

Claim 20 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Claim 21 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Claim 22 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 23 is rejected under the same rationale as claim 1.

Claim 24 is rejected under the same rationale as claims 1 and 13.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wynn et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,667,751) discloses linear web browser history viewer.

Bertis et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,243,091) discloses global history viewer.

Maddalozzo, Jr. et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,012,093) discloses method and apparatus for modification of network link histories.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh T. Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4073. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur and every other Fri 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L. Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2174

T. Vu

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100